United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
	10/734,310	12/12/2003	Leonard D. Rarick	SUNMP349	1691		
	32291 7590 12/19/2007 MARTINE PENILLA & GENCARELLA, LLP			EXAMINER			
	710 LAKEWA	710 LAKEWAY DRIVE			WANG, HARRIS C		
	SUITE 200 SUNNYVALE, CA 94085			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		2139					
					<u> </u>		
٠				MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
				12/19/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/734,310	RARICK ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Harris C. Wang	2139		

	Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	· -				
		Harris C. Wang	2139					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE	REPLY FILED <u>19 November 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS		•					
1. 🛭	☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
	a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
nave under set fo may r NOTI	Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee lave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee lave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee lander 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as let forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, have reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th					
	NDMENTS							
3. K	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, $(a) \boxtimes$ They raise new issues that would require further con	but prior to the date of filing a brief,	will <u>not</u> be entered b	ecause				
	(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		i E below),					
	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.					
_	NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	` ','						
	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			• •				
3. ∐ - ⊠	non-allowable claim(s).							
′. <u> </u> ∠	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		l be entered and an ε	explanation of				
	Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-20.							
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
\FFI	DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and				
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. So	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a).				
	JEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	ii oi the status of the claims after er	illy is below of allact	lea.				
	The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	ice because:				
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
				,				

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The Applicant's amendments have added the additional limitations "combination crypto algorithm unit being capable of performing an MD5 hash algorithm and a SHA1 hash algorithm, the combination crypto algorithm unit including: a first summing circuit, the first summing circuit being a four input summing circuit with a single first summing output, wherein the first summing circuit includes a four to two comproessor and a first carry look-ahead adder wherein the four to two compressor is a two output device and the two outputs are coupled to each of two inputs to the first carry look-ahead adder" in Claims 1, 14, 19. These limitations extend the scope of the claims and require further consideration.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100